

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/588,807	06/06/2000	William G. Lundell		9281
24737	7590 01/09/2004		EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			SPISICH, MARK	
P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1744	
			DATE MAILED: 01/09/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/588,807	LUNDELL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Mark Spisich	1744				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on th cov r sh t with the correspond nce address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 N	ovember 2003.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-12 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4,7-9,13 and 14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 5 and 6 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) latent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/588,807

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 21 November 2003 has been entered.

Election/Restrictions

2. Claims 10-12 remain withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 6.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-4,7-9,13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Marx et al (USP 6,230,717). The patent to Marx discloses a disposable

Application/Control Number: 09/588,807

Art Unit: 1744

(claim 14) (see title and abstract, line 1) toothbrush (10) as well as a power system (21,22+fig 13) which includes a non-rechargeable battery (22) (claim 2) which would have a predetermined life (see column 6, lines 10-23). The functional or otherwise narrative language in the claims (i.e. the terms "trial" or "less than normal") fail to define over the structure of the prior art. Also, the reference to a particular number of brushings refers to a variable quantity (this is really not indicative of time in that one could use the brush once a day, every other day, etc). With regard to the addition of the "means preventing" recitation now in claims 1,7 and 13, one of the disclosed embodiments for practicing the present invention is to merely use a non-rechargeable battery which would have a certain life such that the brush could not be used after the battery has lost its charge. This structure is found in the patent to Marx.

5. Claims 1-4,7-9,13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Montanio (USP 4,179,814). The patent to Montanio discloses a powered (motor 14) tooth cleaning device including a power system includining non-rechargeable batteries (20) (see column 3, lines 7-9) which would have a predetermined life. Also see the above comments. The term "disposable" (claim 14) does not define voer the prior art.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 5 and 6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim *and any intervening claims*.

Application/Control Number: 09/588,807 Page 4

Art Unit: 1744

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 21 November 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The examiner does not dispute applicant's description of the present invention; however, the <u>structure</u> which is recited in the claim(s) must define the invention over the prior art. References or comparisons to some other device which to which the presently claimed device is compared does not define over the structure of the prior art. The addition of the "approximately sixty brushings" is noted; however, this does not structurally limit the claim(s) in that this is a variable quantity. One of the ways of practicing the present invention (and which would be encompassed by the phrase "preventing means") involves the use of a non-rechargeable battery such that the brush would not be usable after the charge thereof has depleted. This structure is met by the prior art.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Spisich whose telephone number is (571) 272-1278. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (6-3:30), Alternate Fri off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert J Warden can be reached on (571) 272-1281. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/588,807

Art Unit: 1744

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Mark Spisich Primary Examiner Art Unit 1744 Page 5

MS